PARADIGM

OUTCOMES

Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: An Evidence-Based Approach

Niriksha Malladi, MD Steven Moskowitz, MD

First, a Few Housekeeping Points

- Slides will advance automatically
- Question & Answer period at end

PARADIGM

OUTCOMES

- You may submit questions at any time
 - Q&A panel is on the lower right side (If you don't see it, click the "Q&A" button in the upper right)
 - Type a question into the lower section of the Q&A panel that appears
 - Ask "All Panelists" and click "Send"
 - If we cannot answer during the session, we will e-mail you
- The presentation was emailed to all registered participants this morning; a copy of the replay will also be emailed
- When the webinar ends, a short survey will pop up; you must take the survey to receive CCMC credit
- If you experience computer broadcast audio problems, please use the dial in number posted in the Chat panel





Today's Webinar Objectives

Our conversation centers on three primary goals.



Understand current, objective diagnostic criteria for complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS)



Understand the treatment strategy for CRPS



Present case management strategies for injured workers given a diagnosis of CRPS



Our Presenters

Our two presenters are leaders in the fields of pain management and rehabilitation.



- Board certified physiatrist specializing in nonoperative treatment of musculoskeletal and pain disorders
- Staff physician at Pacific Rehabilitation Centers in Washington State
- Winner of the 2012 American Pain Society Clinical Center of Excellence Award

PARADIGM OUTCOMES



- Senior medical director of Paradigm's pain program
- 29 years experience in medical rehabilitation, neurological rehabilitation and pain management
- 25 years experience in varied case management models including high risk medical and complex injury management

CRPS Criteria: History, Myths and Facts



Dr. Steven Moskowitz

Why is CRPS Controversial?

An introduction to the debate.

- Validity of diagnosis
- Treatments effectiveness
 - Medications
 - Injections
 - Spinal cord stimulation
 - Rehabilitation
- Outcome expectations
- Outcome measurement
- Ability to spread



PARADIGM OUTCOMES

Epidemiology

May be skewed by incidence of misdiagnosis

- Incidence 5.5/100,000 in U.S. (compare MS 4/100,000, RA 30/100,000)¹
 - Three times more common in women than men¹
 - 1-2% of fractures
 - 2-5% of people with a peripheral nerve injury develop CRPS
 - 13-70% of those with hemiplegia eventually develop CRPS²

- Risk factors
 - Immobilization¹
 - Stressful social events in 80% of UE CRPS³
 - Smoking increases onset and severity⁴
- Resolution rate, stats vary: 74% by one year vs. 36% resolve within 6 years¹
 - Netherlands, after 6 years only 31% completely incapable of work

1. Clinical features and pathophysiology of complex regional pain syndrome; www.thelancet.com/neurology Vol 10 July 2011 2. Reassessment of the incidence of complex regional pain syndrome type 1 following stroke, Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2000;14(1):59-63) 4. Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy) Srinivasa N. Raja, M.D.,* Theodore S. Grabow, M.D.† Clinical Concepts and Commentary Anesthesiology, V 96, No 5, May 2002. 3. Reflex sympathetic dystrophy and cigarette smoking, J Hand Surg Am. 1988 May;13(3):458-60



The History of Changing Criteria for CRPS

- Reflex sympathetic dystrophy and causalgia
- Complex Regional Pain Syndrome 1994 consensus statement
 - International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) agreed on diagnostic criteria for reflex sympathetic dystrophy (RSD) and causalgia
 - Renamed them complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) types I and II, respectively
 - Requires exclusion of other cause of symptoms¹
 - Focus on symptoms, not so much on signs¹
 - Results of renaming
 - Led to over-diagnosis, still a problem today
 - Criteria found to be very sensitive, but not very specific
 - Resulted in a large number of false positives (specificity range of 36% to 55%)¹

1. Bruehl S, Harden RN, Galer BS, Saltz S, Bertram M, Backonja M, Gayles R, Rudin N, Bhugra MK, Stanton-Hicks M. External validation of IASP diagnostic criteria for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome and proposed research diagnostic criteria. International Association for the Study of Pain. *Pain*. 1999 May;81(1-2):147-54.



The Budapest Criteria

To make a clinical diagnosis, the following criteria must be met.

- 1. Continuing pain, which is disproportionate to any inciting event
- 2. Must report at least one symptom in three of the four following categories:
 - Sensory: Reports of hyperesthesia and/or allodynia
 - Vasomotor: Reports of temperature asymmetry and/or skin color changes and/or skin color asymmetry
 - Sudomotor/Edema: Reports of edema and/or sweating changes and/or sweating asymmetry
 - Motor/Trophic: Reports of decreased range of motion and/or motor dysfunction (weakness, tremor, dystonia) and/or trophic changes (hair, nail, skin)

The Budapest Criteria

- 3. Must display at least one sign at time of evaluation in two or more of the following categories:
 - Sensory: Evidence of hyperalgesia (to pinprick) and/or allodynia (to light touch and/or temperature sensation and/or deep somatic pressure and/or joint movement)
 - Vasomotor: Evidence of temperature asymmetry (>1 °C) and/or skin color changes and/or asymmetry
 - Sudomotor/Edema: Evidence of edema and/or sweating changes and/or sweating asymmetry
 - Motor/Trophic: Evidence of decreased ROM and/or motor dysfunction (weakness, tremor, dystonia) and/or trophic changes (hair, nail, skin)
- 4. There is no other diagnosis that better explains the signs and symptoms

From the Archives: Is This a Case of CRPS?

Let's investigate the findings and diagnosis.

- 34-year-old man who had a lifting injury and left shoulder dislocation in 2003; shoulder subsequently surgically stabilized and reinjured
- Multiple shoulder arthroscopies; ultimately a shoulder fusion in 2007, later hardware removal
- CRPS diagnosis made for residual pain

PARADIGM

OUTCOMES

- 10 surgeries total, including thoracic outlet syndrome surgery
- Failed spinal cord stimulator, high-dose opioids, topical four-agent compounded cream, ketamine infusions
- Anesthesia pain MD says he is improving and weaning slowly off opioids, yet he is not
- Objective findings consistent with brachial plexus injury



© Paradigm Outcomes, Proprietary

Avoiding Misdiagnosis

Why would doctors misdiagnose CRPS?

- The nature of "syndromes"
- Overreliance on the subjective versus objective
- Misunderstanding of the physiology of CRPS
- Many other conditions can mimic some of the findings of CRPS
- Need a name for unexplained symptoms
- Biomedical practice bias
- Poor interpretation of biological versus psychosocial signs



Summing it Up

Correct diagnosis is the first step to effective treatment.

- CRPS is a real condition, but uncommon
- CRPS has very specific objective criteria
- There are other factors in play causing misdiagnosis of CRPS



Management of CRPS



Dr. Niriksha Malladi

Causes of CRPS

- Distinct combination of abnormalities
- Includes limb-confined inflammation and tissue hypoxia, sympathetic dysregulation, small fiber damage, central sensitization and cortical reorganization
- Four cardinal features/findings:
 - pain out of proportion to injury
 - swelling

PARADIGM

OUTCOMES

- movement abnormalities (including joint stiffness)
- Color, temperature, and sudomotor changes known as vasomotor instability









Characteristics of the Syndrome

- Highly painful
- Limb-confined condition
- Sensory and motor symptoms, autonomic disturbances, trophic changes
- Variable progression over time
- Usually occurs after trauma
- Associated with a particularly poor quality of life
- Large healthcare and societal costs



Goebel A. Complex Regional Pain Syndrome in Adults. Rheumatology 2011;50:1739-1750



What's Complex About CRPS?

- Poorly understood condition by injured workers and many physicians
- Usually triggered as a secondary event to an injury or surgery
- Leaves injured workers frustrated by poor treatment results and compromised physically and emotionally
- Leaves physicians frustrated due to lack of adequate treatment resources and poor outcomes







The Perpetuation of Pain

- Pain causes structural and functional changes in the injured worker's CNS which can amplify and maintain the chronic pain state
- Other factors also play a role in the maintenance and experience of pain and disability; these factors are addressed in comprehensive treatment of the condition
- Psychological and social factors can play a more prominent role than biological factors

Zeroing in on the Correct Diagnosis

- In the treatment of CRPS, ensuring a correct diagnosis is key
- Subjective diagnostic criteria and no specific test

PARADIGM

OUTCOMES

- Diagnostic tests are disappointing a negative bone scan, for example, doesn't rule out the condition and while an electrodiagnostics may pick up non-specific findings, there are no definitive criteria for a diagnosis
- Your best hope for making the right diagnosis is obtaining a good history and doing a careful physical exam

CRPS is **over-diagnosed**. It is a sufficiently vague diagnosis to explain away multiple symptoms and "failure" of treatment. Even more complex when litigation is involved: does the injured worker have a problem, or **do they wish to have a problem** when there is none?¹

1. J Hand Surg Eur Vol July 2013 Vol. 38 no. 6 595-597 (editorial)

Diagnostic Tests

- Triple phase bone scan consider, but know that it isn't a specific test (19% at best) but is sensitive (96%) early in the condition.
- Diagnostic (and therapeutic) sympathetic blockade consider.
- Electrodiagnostic tests to rule out other nerve-related disorders.
- MRI/CT/X-ray to rule out other orthopedic disorders. While not diagnostic, an x-ray showing periarticular osteopenia is significant.
- Thermogram.

PARADIGM

OUTCOMES

■ Autonomic testing.

Treatment

- "The treatment of CRPS is multidisciplinary and aims to educate about the condition, sustain or restore limb function, reduce pain and provide psychological intervention."¹
- Requires careful selection of treatments for best outcomes this can include medications, interventions, rehabilitation therapies and psychological treatment.
- Treatment frequently requires a coordinated approach.
- Single-modality treatments likely to be met with treatment failure.
- Goal-oriented treatment is necessary, and the injured worker's goals need to involve more than just being pain-free.

Setting expectations starts at the first visit.



1. Goebel A. Complex Regional Pain Syndrome in Adults. Rheumatology 2011;50:1739-1750

- The practice of surgical and chemical sympathectomy for neuropathic pain and CRPS is based on very little high quality evidence.¹
- Scarcity of published evidence to support the use of local anaesthetic sympathetic blockade for CRPS.²
- Dorsal column stimulators: The (only) large RCT found a response rate of 50% for >50% pain relief in injured workers with >6 months, disease duration. Limb function did not improve. With time, the SCS effect slowly diminishes; SCS results did not exceed those in the physical therapy control group from 3 years after implantation.³
- Surgery to the affected limb is rarely indicated. Any temptation to amputate should be strongly resisted.⁴
- Surgical release of finger contractures has not been successful and has at best a 50% improvement.⁵

1. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 Sep 2;9. 2. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 Aug 19; 8. 3. Kemler et al., 2008; 4. Dielssenn et al. 1995; 5. Patterson et al., 2011.

PARADIG M OUTCOMES

Treatment Options

- Opioids (oral/intrathecal/transdermal): A big part of the problem because it's such a small part of the solution; rarely improves function
- Ketamine infusions, compound topical agents
- Rehabilitation: emphasizes normalizing movement patterns, restoring range of motion of affected limb, normalizing sympathetic tone of limb, desensitization, gradually progressing from gentle therapies to intensive rehabilitation targeted at addressing injured worker's emotional, physical and vocational needs
- Treatment modalities: graded motor imagery, left/right discrimination, mirrorbox therapy



PARADIGM OUTCOMES

Treatment Options (Continued)

- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy/Psychological treatment: emotional turmoil due to fear of pain
- Cochrane reviews : trials typically small and quality variable
 - Low quality evidence that bisphosphonate, calcitonin or a daily course of IV ketamine may be effective for pain cf placebo
 - GMI may be effective for pain and function cf usual care
 - Mirrorbox therapy may be effective for pain in post-stroke CRPS
 - Low quality evidence that local anaesthetic sympathetic blockade is not effective
 - Low quality evidence that PT or OT are associated with small positive effects
 - Critical lack of high quality evidence for effectiveness of most therapies for CRPS

Comprehensive Pain Programs: When Are They Warranted?

- It can be time-consuming and requires skilled specialists in the treatment of chronic pain to identify the disability markers delaying recovery, but it is necessary for identifying the injured workers who are failing traditional medical care, which is frequently in the vein of the biomedical model. Avoid unnecessary surgical care.
- Identify the insult and try to eradicate the pain? All injured workers seek this. Works well for many medical illnesses but not CRPS and certainly not chronic pain.
- Without identifying these factors, some of these injured workers can continue to receive aggressive interventional treatments (such as repeated blocks and spinal cord stimulators) and medication management, including opioids, that serve to amplify their pain and disability.

From the Archives: Crush Injury

- 31-year-old man with crush injury to right foot (Lisfranc injury), compartment syndrome, severed peroneal nerve, severe ankle displacement, crushed heel and tibia fracture. s/p 12-13 surgeries.
- Surgeries complicated by osteomyelitis. Pain levels 5-8/10 in right lower leg and right ankle. Uses walking stick constantly. Daily stumbles and falls a few times a month. Presented with altered gait pattern, moderately high levels of pain, high opioid use, difficulty weightbearing on right foot.
- Prior treatment: More than 100 visits of PT, aquatic therapy, massage, psych counseling and treatment for PTSD and depression.
- Had done some desensitization in PT and his home exercise program.
- Recommended pain program, tx with GMI, desensitization and mirror box therapy. Focus to be on strengthening, conditioning, working on ROM, gait training, body mechanics, tolerances for ADLs, endurance and allowing him to return to an appropriate level of work.
- Meds: Prazosin 5 mg qhs, Gabapentin 1200 mg tid, Fentanyl 50 ug/hr q 3 days, Amitriptyline 100 mg qhs, Zoloft 150 mg qd, Methocarbamol, Tylenol, Naprosyn.

Treatment Outcomes

	Pre-treatment	Post-treatment
Pain	5-8/10	3-4/10
Standing tolerance	15 min	60 mins
Lifting capacities	20 lbs	50 lbs
Body mechanics	64%	100%
SF-36	50	85
Pain catastrophizing scale	10	5

■ Meds at discharge - Prazosin 5 mg qhs, gabapentin 1200 mg tid



Summing it Up

Clinical interventions.

- Correct diagnosis
- Setting treatment expectations
- The failure of the biomedical model
- Consider interdisciplinary treatment early
- Focus on early reactivation
- Caution with opioids
- Consider psychological treatment if there are significant comorbidities
- Invasive treatment should be restricted to selected cases and should only be offered in specialized centers

CRPS treatment outcome is not as poor as commonly assumed. Whether there is return to pre-injury QOL is frequently dependent on the above factors, as well as often dependent on injured worker's personal factors.



Question and Answer Session

Submit your questions in the Q&A panel on the right of your screen.



Experiencing computer audio broadcast problems?

Please use the toll-free dial-in number: 1-877-668-4490, access code 663 618 001 # #



You Tube Find informative videos on our You Tube channel: <u>www.youtube.com/paradigmoutcomes</u>

Read Outlook on Outcomes, Paradigm's blog: <u>www.paradigmcorp.com/blog</u>

PARADIGM OUTCOMES